





Management and Leadership – Forum B

Group 1: Leadership strategy and management of an Elite Sport Center

VII International Forum on Elite Sport, INSEP, France
Jukka Lahtinen
Brazilian Olympic Committee



How to manage HPC in long term?

Some important issues

- -Vision
- -Political commitment
- -Clear strategy and goals
- -Leadership and management
- -Change and evolution
- -Find a way to reach goals, performance





Organization and result measurement

Organization

- -Organization depends on strategy and needs
- -Service integration
- -Clear role definition
- -Communication internal and external



Organization and result measurement

Result measurement / quality control

- -Medals only sounds too simple ?
- -What performance or success are we measuring?
- -Many perspectives
 - Responsibility towards providers, founders etc.
 - Sport clients athletes, coaches
 - Community
- Very cultural issue



Staff management

Executive staff change(s)

- -Necessary element
- -Internally important to plan well and prepare new people
- -Externally very important for all type clients
- -Communication

Service

- -HPC is a service provider
- -Good daily leadership
- -Communication on all levels





Staff management

Collaboration and "companionship"

- -"Our own center" mentality
 - Shared vision
 - Trust
- -Transparency
- -Common good
- -Clear management
- -Feedback





Funding

Ownership

- -Public hybrid private
- -National policy and sport policy
- -Practically all HPCs are somehow hybrid type





Funding

Revenue vs. costs

-Public money

- Quite sure source but changes are slow
- Slow development, less flexibility
- Big reporting responsibility

-Private money

- Very difficult to earn (If someone does disagree, please rise your hand!)
- Big groups of youngsters brings money
- High performance does not



Finland

Hybrid case

- -Finnish HPCs are hybrid type centers
 - Relatively small support from Ministry
 - Most part of revenues come from clients
- -HPCs are units of multiuse institutes (sport, education, leisure time) and they need to share all facilities with other clients
- -HPCs are small as units and real performance improvement IMPACT is often weak
- -Competition between HPCs about clients
- -Weak national coordination before. Now getting better.
- -Junior masses bring money High performance not
- -Working culture very straight , fair, honest





Spain



Public case

- -Public ownership (state, regional governments, federations)
- -39 centers in country of 45M of inhabitants political reasons
 - HPCs: 3 multisport and 6 specialized
 - Regional centers: 15 + 15
- -Weak national coordination
 - Lack of vision, strategy and planning
 - Management and leadership (politicians lead)
- -Most of the financing is public
- -In addition all 18 regions have their own sport center systems
- -Working culture is flexible, with short steps of planning



Brazil



- -Young as an elite sport country
- -Rio 2016 Olympic Games will be like a big boost towards totally new environment in high performance sports
- -Brazilian Olympic Committee leads national high performance
- -Lack of multisport HPCs and culture of long time stays
- -Organization develops quickly new strategy will help
- -Good and growing resources
- -A lot of possibilities young population, obesity rate is low, fast growing economy, Rio 2016, new OTC
- -Working culture is good, it needs more strategic planning, management and leadership





Thank you